

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A147 170

TAUNTON RIVER BASIN EASTON, MASSACHUSETTS



LONGWATER POND DAM MA 00171

# PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

FILE COPY





Ë

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public releases
Distribution Unlimited

**APRIL 1979** 

84 10

10 29

027

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT	ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S GATALOG NUMBER
MA 00171 (4り)	4 149 172
I. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Longwater Pond Dam	INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FED	ERAL 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
. AUTHOR(*)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, YASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS	April 1979
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254	55
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Cont	rolling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING

APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

- 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)
- Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.
- 13. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,

Taunton River Basin Easton, Mass.

Longwater Pond Dam is a 200 foot long, 10 foot high earth dam. The dam is in fair condition. The dam has been classified as "small" and in the "low" hazard category. A test flood equal to the 100 year frequency flood was used for this analysis.



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

JUN 1 8 1979

Honorable Edward J. King Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Longwater Pond Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, Town of Easton, Easton, Massachusetts 02334, and Mr. Oliver Ames, 295 Lee Street, Brookline, Massachusetts 02146, joint owners of the Dam.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers

Division Engineer

> PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

> > A Part of the second

# NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

# BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No.: MA00171

Name of Dam: Longwater Pond Dam

Town: Easton

County and State: Bristol County, Massachusetts

Stream: Tributary of Taunton River

Date of Inspection: December 8, 1978

Longwater Pond Dam is a 200-foot long, 10-foot high earth dam. The upstream face of the dam is a vertical concrete wall with earth fill at the toe, and the downstream face is a vertical stone masonry wall. The crest of the dam which is Main Street in Easton, is 44 feet wide. 'The outlet works consist of a central outlet plus a side channel spillway located to the right of the outlet. The intake to the central outlet is 7 feet by 7.5 feet and controlled by a dual set of stoplogs. The crest of the side channel spillway is 1 foot wide, 85 feet long and at elevation (E1) 115.4 feet. The spillway is 6 feet wide and the spillway channel invert varies from El 114.6 at the right abutment to El 113.2 at the discharge to the box culvert. Flow from both outlets combines in a highway culvert which extends under Main Street. Flow from the culvert discharges into a natural 20-foot wide streambea.

There are deficiencies which must be corrected to assure the continued performance of this dam. This conclusion is based upon the visual inspection at the site, the available engineering data, and limited evidence of operational and maintenance procedures. Generally, the dam is in fair condition. At the time of the inspection, the stoplogs had been removed and the pond drained.

The following signs of distress were noted at the site: absence of riprap on the upstream slope of the dam below the concrete wall; uncompacted earth fill LONGWATER POND DAM

The air of the time of the

adjacent to the left abutment of the outlet; scoured and eroded concrete on the outlet works; and spalling on the crest of the side channel spillway; cracking and local depressions in the pavement on the crest of the dam. The stoplogs were replaced shortly after the inspection, and the pond level returned to a higher elevation. At a second visit to the site, seepage was noted through the crest or the spillway. For the purpose of the hydraulic computations, the upper elevation of the stoplogs is assumed to be 114.0.

Based on Corps of Engineers guidlines, the dam has been classified as "small" and in the "low" hazard category. Accordingly, a test flood equal to the 100-year frequency flood was used for this analysis. Hydraulic analyses indicate that the central outlet with stoplogs can discharge a flow of 900 cfs with the water surface at El 118.5 (the low point on the crest of the dam). Therefore, an outflow test flood (one-quarter the PMF) of 1,790 cfs at pond El 122.2 will overtop the dam by 3.7 feet. The spillway can discharge 50 percent of the test flood.

It is recommended that the owner accomplish the following: place riprap at the toe of the upstream wall; compact earth fill adjacent to the central outlet; repair concrete on the outlet and spillway; repair seepage area on the crest of the side channel spillway; and repair asphalt paved crest. The Owner should also implement a systematic program of inspection and maintenance.

LONGWATER POND DAM

They are the transport of

The recommendations and remedial measures outlined above and in Section 7 should be implemented by the Owner within a period of one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

OF CONNECTION

OF CONNECTION

NO. 8365

PORTO OF CONNECTION

NO. 8365

Edward M. Greco, P.E. Project Manager Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.

Connecticut Registration No. 08365

Approved by:

Stephen L. Bishop, P.E.

Vice President Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.

Massachusetts Registration No. 19703

STEPHEN
L.
BISHOP
No. 19703
OCISTORIAL ENGLISHMENT

This Phase I Inspection Report on Longwater Pond Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

Joseph 9. Mc Elroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, MEMBER Foundation & Materials Branch Engineering Division

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER

Design Branch

Engineering Division

JOSEPH FINEGAN, JR., CHAIRIAN

Chief, Reservoir Control Center

ater Control Branch

Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

JOE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

#### **PREFACE**

This report is prepared under guidance contained in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for a Phase I Investigation. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions will be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general conditions and the downstream damage potential.

LONGWATER POND DAM

Proposition of the

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
PREFACE	
OVERVIEW PHOTO	111
LOCATION MAP	iv
REPORT	
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
<pre>1.1 General 1.2 Description of Project 1.3 Pertinent Data</pre>	1 2 4
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	9
<ul><li>2.1 General</li><li>2.2 Construction Records</li><li>2.3 Operating Records</li><li>2.4 Evaluation</li></ul>	9999
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	11
3.1 Findings 3.2 Evaluation	11 12
SECTION 4 - OPERATING PROCEDURES	13
4.1 Procedures 4.2 Maintenance of Dam 4.3 Maintenance of Operating	13 13
Facilities	13
4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect 4.5 Evaluation	13 13
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	14
5 1 Evaluation of Features	14

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

SECTION	6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	16
6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	16
SECTION	7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	17
7.2 7.3	Dam Assessment Recommendations Remedial Measures Alternatives	17 18 18 19
APPENDIXES		
APPENDIX	A - PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST	
KIDNAPA	B - PLANS OF DAM AND PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS	


APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

# OVERVIEW LONGWATER POND DAM EASTON, MASSACHUSETTS





LOCATION MAP - LONGWATER POND DAM

# NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

### LONGWATER POND DAM

#### SECTION 1

# PROJECT INFORMATION

# 1.1 General

a. Authority. Public Law 92-367, dated August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Divison of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Contract No. DACW 33-79-C-0016, dated November 28, 1978, has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

#### b. Purpose:

- (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- (2) Encourage and assist the States to quickly initiate effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- (3) Update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

LONGWATER POND DAM

MORNING PROPERTY OF

# 1.2 Description of Project

- a. Location. The dam is located on Queset Brook a tributary of the Hockomock River, in the Town of North Easton, Bristol County, Massachusetts (see location map.)
- b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. Longwater Pond Dam is an earthfill dam, 200-feet long and 10-feet high. The crest of the dam, which is also Main Street, is 44-feet wide and paved with asphalt. (See Figure B-1). The elevation of the crest varies from 118.5 to 119.2. There are sidewalks, curbs and gutters on each side of the pavement. The downstream side of the crest consists of a stone masonry wall approximately 3 feet high. The stone wall abuts each end of the 22-foot long concrete wall which is directly over the spillway discharge box culvert. A 2-1/2-foot high iron pipe guard rail is located on the upstream side of the crest.

The downstream face of the dam is a vertical stone masonry wall. The upstream face of the dam, to the left of the outlet, consists of uncompacted earthfill which was apparently dumped in place. The slope varies from 1:1 to 5:1, horizontal to vertical. The right upstream slope consists of a concrete side channel spillway. The dam ties into natural grade at both abutments.

The approach to the central outlet structure and side channel spillway has no training walls. The floor of the approach channel slopes gently toward the outlet. During recent dredging operations, a temporary earth dike was constructed in the pond, just upstream of the outlet structure. At very low pond levels, water would either be diverted around the dike or flow through the dike to the outlet, through a corrugated metal pipe. At high pond levels the low dike and pipe are submerged.

The outlet works for Longwater Pond is a combination of a side channel spillway and a

central outlet, both constructed of concrete. The side channel spillway is located on the upstream face of the dam to the right of the outlet.

The crest of the concrete spillway is one foot wide, 85 feet long and at El 115.4. The discharge channel behind the crest is 6 feet wide and varies from 0.8 feet deep (El 114.6) at the far right end to 2.2 feet (El 113.2) deep at the outlet structure. (See Figure B-2). The side channel spillway drops 3.6 feet into the main discharge channel of the outlet structure. The central outlet consists of a rectangular structure, 7 feet by 7.5 feet, divided into two bays by a center wall which supports two sets of stoplogs. The bays are 3.0 and 3.1 feet wide. The top of the outlet structure is covered by an iron grate.

At the time of the inspection, all stoplogs had been removed and the water level in the pond was at El 109.6. When a second visit was made to the site on January 29, 1979, the stoplogs had been replaced. The water level at this time was at El 114.7. Water was flowing over the top of the stoplogs, but not over the crest of the side channel spillway.

Pond elevations above 117.2 will cause additional discharge to the culvert to occur through the grating covering the outlet.

Discharge from the combined spillway and outlet flows in a 7 by 7.5 foot box culvert under Main Street. The culvert is approximately 45 feet long, and constructed of concrete and stone masonry. Water flowing through the culvert discharges into a narrow stream channel with a natural bed of sand and gravel.

c. Size Classification. Longwater Pond Dam is classified in the small category since it has a maximum height of 10 feet and a maximum storage capacity of 90 acre-feet.

LONGWATER POND DAM

1

- d. Hazard Classification. The area downstream of the dam is a long reach of gently rolling meadow and pastureland. Below this area, Queset Brook flows through wood and swampland to Morse Pond, about one mile downstream of the dam. In the event of complete failure of the dam, the floodplain and associated swamps of Queset Brook and Morse Pond would probably attenuate the flood flows (see Location Map). Extensive property damage or any loss of life is unlikely, since the land is only sparsely developed. Accordingly, the dam is placed in the low hazard category.
- e. Ownership. The dam is owned jointly by the Town of Easton, Massachusetts (Telephone 617-238-1091) and by Mr. Oliver Ames, 295 Lee Street, Brookline, Massachusetts 02146 (Telephone 617-482-5270).
- f. Operators. The dam is operated by personnel from the Town of Easton Engineering Department, in conjunction with Mr. Oliver Ames.
- g. Purpose of Dam. The dam and pond were formerly used for storage for power. The present purpose of the pond is solely recreational and aesthetic. The dam also serves as the embankment for Main Street (Route 123) in North Easton.
- h. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. There are no records concerning the design and construction history for this dam.
- i. Normal Operating Procedures. Normal operating procedure is to leave the stoplogs in place to maintain a high water level in the pond. During periods of heavy rainfall or potential flooding from upstream dams and ponds, the owner reportedly removes the stoplogs and lowers the water level.

### 1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area. The approximately 5,443 acre (8.5 square mile) drainage area includes the drainage areas of several ponds located northeast and northwest of the dam (see Figure D-1).

LONGWATER POND DAM

Charles House

Longwater Pond lies in the valley of Whitman Brook, which flows from the north, and Queset Brook, which flows from the west. The drainage area is moderately developed, wooded, and gently rolling. The shoreline of Longwater Pond is undeveloped.

b. Discharge. At the time of the inspection the stoplogs at the outlet works had been temporarily removed. Under normal conditions, flow through the outlet commences at water surface El 114.0, which is approximately the top of the stoplogs. Flow over the side channel spillway would occur at El 115.4.

Water from both the spillway and outlet works discharges into a 7.0 by 7.5 foot box culvert through the dam (under Main Street). Below Main Street the discharge flows into a natural stream. The stream bed is approximately 20 feet wide, and slopes at about 1 percent.

The outlet with stoplogs can discharge 900 cfs with the water surface at El 118.5 which is the low point on the crest of the dam. The outflow test flood (one-quarter PMF) is 1,790 cfs at water surface El 122.2. The flood will overtop the dam by 3.7 feet.

The maximum flood level at this dam is unknown. The dam was overtopped in 1968 when the dam upstream at Flyway Pond failed.

- c. Elevation (Feet Above Mean Sea Level (MSL)). A benchmark was established at El 117.2 on the top of the right upstream corner of the outlet works. (See Figure B-1 for the location).
  - (1) Top dam: 118.5 to 119.2
  - (2) Test flood pool: 122.2
  - (3) Design surcharge: Unknown
  - (4) Full flood control pool: Not applicable

- (5) Recreation pool: 114.0
- (6) Spillway crest: top of stoplogs 114.7 bottom of stoplogs 108.8 side channel spillway 115.4
- (7) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel: N/A
- (8) Stream bed at centerline of dam: 107.9
- (9) Maximum tailwater: N/A

# d. Reservoir.

- (1) Length of maximum pool: 2,000 feet
- (2) Length of recreation pool: 2,000 feet
- (3) Length of flood control pool: N/A

# e. Storage (acre-feet)

- (1) Test flood surcharge: 115
- (2) Top of dam: 90
- (3) Flood control pool: N/A
- (4) Recreation pool: 28
- (5) Spillway crest: 28

# f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

- (1) Top dam: 13.8
- (2) Test flood pool: 13.8
- (3) Flood control pool: N/A
- (4) Recreation pool: 13.8
- (5) Spillway crest: 13.8

## g. Dam.

(1) Type: Earthfill

- (2) Length: 200 feet
- (3) Height: 10 feet
- (4) Top width: 44 feet
- (5) Side slopes: Vertical
- (6) Zoning: Unknown
- (7) Impervious core: Unknown
- (8) Cutoff: Unknown
- (9) Grout curtain: Unknown

# i. Spillway.

- (1) Type: Sharp crested
- (2) Length of weir: outlet (stoplogs) 7.5 feet side channel 85 feet
- (3) Crest elevation: outlet (with stoplogs) 114.7 side channel spillway 115.4
- (4) Gates: None
- (5) Upstream channel: No approach channel; pond bottom is pervious material sand and gravel, recontoured by recent excavation
- (6) Downstream channel: Gently sloping, natural meandering stream below culvert under Main Street.
- j. Regulating Outlets. The regulating outlet at the dam is the concrete outlet structure which extends into the pond. The invert of the outlet is at El 108.9. Two sets of stoplogs are keyed into the outlet on either side of a central concrete wall. Flow through the outlet is controlled by adjusting the position of the stoplogs. At the time of the inspection the

LONGWATER POND DAM

THE PROPERTY OF

stoplogs had been removed temporarily. At a later visit, the stoplogs were in place, with the top elevation estimated at 114.7 MSL.

With one foot of stoplogs removed from the outlet, it would take 16.7 hours to lower the pond level from El 114.0 to 113.0.

#### SECTION 2

#### ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 General. No plans, specifications or computations are available from the Owner, State or county relative to the design, construction or repair of this dam. Repairs were made in 1973-1974 to the concrete stone masonry walls in the vicinity of the central outlet and culvert, and the side channel spillway. These repairs were recommended in the Bristol County inspection report dated November 8, 1973.

We acknowledge the assistance and cooperation of personnel of the Massachusetts Department of Public Works; the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, Division of Waterways; and The Engineer for the Town of Easton.

In addition, we acknowledge the assistance and cooperation of the Owner, Mr. Oliver Ames, 295 Lee Street, Brookline, Massachusetts who provided information on the operation and past performance of the dam.

- 2.2 <u>Construction Records</u>. There are no construction or as-built drawings for the dam, spillway or outlet structure.
- 2.3 Operating Records. There are no operating records available for this dam.

# 2.4 Evaluation

- a. Availability. There is limited engineering data available.
- b. Adequacy. The lack of detailed hydraulic, structural, and construction data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the evaluation of the adequacy of this dam is based on review of available drawings, visual inspection, past performance history, and engineering judgment.

c. Validity. Comparison of the limited information available from the Owners with the field survey conducted during the Phase I Inspection indicates that the information is valid.

#### SECTION 3

#### VISUAL INSPECTION

# 3.1 Findings

- a. General. The Phase I Inspection of the dam at Longwater Pond was performed on December 8, 1978. A second visit was made to the site on January 29, 1979. By this time the stoplogs had been replaced, and the pond was filled. A copy of the inspection check list is included in Appendix A. Previous inspections of this dam were made in 1959 by Hayden, Harding and Buchanon, Inc., in 1970 by Universal Engineering Corporation and in 1973 and 1978 by the Massachusetts Department of Public Works. A copy of each report is included in Appendix B.
- b. Dam. Longwater Pond Dam is an earthfill dam with an asphalt paved roadway (Main Street) on the crest. The dam is generally in fair condition. No seepage through the embankment was observed, however, there were other signs of distress at the site. There is no riprap on the upstream slope of the embankment at the base of the concrete wall, and some erosion is visible at the upstream face of the right abutment. At the left abutment (to the left of the outlet works), the upstream slope has been covered with uncompacted earthfill. There are already signs of erosion in this area, and more is likely to occur unless the bank is properly compacted and protected with riprap.

The downstream face of the dam is in good condition. Surface cracks and local depressions are visible on the paved crest of the dam. This should be repaired to prevent further deterioration of the crest and upper slopes.

c. Appurtenant Structures. The outlet works for the pond consist of a central outlet with stoplogs, and a side channel spillway. The inlet

LONGWATER POND DAM

CANA PROGRAMMENT - 4

to the central outlet is 7 feet high and 7.5 feet wide. A center concrete wall divides the outlet into two bays. This wall, and the outer side walls, support the two sets of stoplogs. The concrete at the base of the side walls and center wall is eroded, and spalling of the concrete was noted elsewhere.

The side channel spillway is constructed of concrete along the upstream slope of the right embankment. Water is seeping shrough the concrete crest of the spillway, near the discharge end of the trough. The seepage area is a horizontal zone of spalled and eroded concrete, approximately 6 inches wide and 12 feet long. The concrete on the floor of the channel is also slightly spalled.

The spillway and central outlet both discharge into a 7 by 7.5 foot box culvert under Main Street. The culvert is constructed of concrete and stone masonry, and appears to be in good condition. A 12-inch cast-iron pipe crosses the culvert along the centerline of the roadway, 3 to 4 feet above the invert. This pipe could trap debris and obstruct the flow from the pond.

- d. Reservoir Area. The area around Longwater Pond is lightly developed with approximately 10 residences. It is possible that more development could occur in the future. The area is wood and grassland and has moderate slopes of 1 to 10 percent.
- e. Downstream Channel. Discharge from the box culvert enters Queset Brook. The brook is about 20 feet wide, and flows in an open pasture bounded by woods. The streambed is naturally paved with boulders. There are a few trees growing on the banks.

Water flows downstream at a gradient of about 1 percent. Approximately 1 mile downstream Queset Brook flows into Morse Pond.

3.2 Evaluation. The above findings indicate that the dam is in fair condition, and there are deficiencies which require attention. Recommended measures to improve the condition of the dam are stated in Section 7.3

#### SECTION 4

#### OPERATING PROCEDURES

- Procedures. Under normal operating conditions water discharges from Longwater Pond over the stoplogs in the central outlet. There are no other low level outlets for this pond. It is reported that periodic visits are made to the dam by Mr. Ames and personnel from the Town of North Easton Engineering Department.
- Maintenance of Dam. The dam is not adequately maintained. The seepage in the side channel spill-way was also noted in an inspection report dated 1973. The concrete in the sidewalls of the central outlet is eroded and needs repair. Riprap should be placed on the upstream slope of the dam embankment. The settlement and cracking in the traveled lanes of the asphalt-paved crest needs repair.

The mound of fill on the left embankment is subject to erosion if not properly compacted.

- Maintenance of Operating Facilities. The operating facilities on this dam consist of the two sets of stoplogs within the central outlet. At the time of the inspection (December 8, 1978) the stoplogs were not in place. At a second visit on January 29, 1979 it was noted that the stoplogs had been replaced, and the water level in the pond was higher.
- 4.4 Description of Any Warning Systems in Effect.
  There is no warning system in effect at this dam.
- 4.5 Evaluation. There is no regular program of maintenance or warning system in effect at Longwater Pond Dam. A program of inspection and maintenance and a surveillance system for this dam should be implemented as recommended in Section 7.3.

#### SECTION 5

#### HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

# 5.1 Evaluation of Features

- a. General. The drainage area to Longwater Pond includes Shovelshop, Flyaway, Bigney, Monte, French, Ames, and Long Ponds, as well as several smaller ponds and swamps. The area is fairly well developed and moderately wooded. Discharge from Longwater Pond enters a box culvert under Main Street, either through a central outlet or the side channel spillway. Stoplogs on the outlet presently retain the water level in the pond at approximately El 114.7. Flow over the concrete crest of the weir commences at El 115.4. There is no other low-level outlet at the pond.
- b. <u>Design Data</u>. There are no hydraulic computations available for this dam.
- c. Experience Data. Detailed hydraulic records are not available for this dam. However, the Owners have stated that the dam was overtopped in 1968 when the dam at Flyaway Pond failed.
- d. Visual Observations. The inlet to the central outlet works is divided into two bays by a central concrete wall. The effective length of each bay is 3 feet. Both the side channel spillway and the central outlet discharge into the box culvert under Main Street. During any large flood, the main constriction to flow is likely to be the inlet to the box culvert, rather than the capacity of the central outlet and spillway. Without the narrow culvert the outlets could discharge up to one-half the probable maximum flood with only minor overtopping of the crest.

During the test flood, flow over the crest of the road would be partly contained by the stone

wa'l on the downstream side of the road. Discharge would be confined to the area of the pipe rail over the downstream headwall of the culvert.

e. Test Flood Analysis. Longwater Pond Dam has been classified as a small size dam of low hazard potential according to the Corps of Engineers Guidelines. For this preliminary investigation a 100-year frequency flood was used. For this report this rate was considered to be equivalent to one-quarter the probable maximum flood (PMF).

The PMF rate was determined to be 900 cfs per square mile. This calculation is based on the average slope of the drainage area of 1.3 percent, the pond-plus-swamp area to drainage area ratio of 10.8 percent, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Guide curves for PMF peak flow rates (dated December 1977). Applying one-fourth the PMF to the 8.5 square miles of drainage area results in a calculated peak flood flow of 1,900 cfs as the inflow test flood. By adjusting the inflow test flood for surcharge storage, the maximum discharge rate was established as 1,790 cfs at pond El 122.2, which is 3.7 feet above the low point on the crest of the dam.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the central outlet with stoplogs to El 114.0 could discharge 900 cfs when the water surface is at El 118.5, which is the low point on the crest of the dam. This discharge is 50 percent of the outflow test flood of 1,790 cfs. Using the outflow test flood, the pond level would be 3.7 feet above the low point on the crest.

f. Dam Failure Analysis. Based on the possible failure of the mid section of the dam embankment, the peak discharge rate would increase from 900 cfs to 3,500 cfs and produce a total flood wave downstream depth of 11 feet in the stream channel. About 2,200 feet downstream of the dam, the failure flow would discharge into the surrounding swamps and eventually into Morse Pond.

#### SECTION 6

#### STRUCTURAL STABILITY

# 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

- a. Visual Observations. The evaluation of the structural stability of Longwater Pond Dam is based on the visual inspection conducted on December 8, 1978. As discussed in Section 3, Visual Inspection, the dam is in fair condition. Based on the observations noted in Section 3, further investigations to evaluate the stability of the embankment are not required at this time. However, the upstream face of the dam, in the area of uncompacted fill, should be inspected periodically as this area has a high potential for erosion.
- b. Design and Construction Data. There are no plans, specifications or computations available on the design, construction, or repair of this dam from the Owner, county or State.
- c. Operating Records. There is no instrumentation of any type in Longwater Pond Dam, and no instrumentation was ever installed in this dam. The performance of this dam under prior loading can only be inferred by physical evidence at the site.
- d. Post-Construction Changes. There are no as-built drawings available for Longwater Pond Dam.
- e. Seismic Stability. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines does not warrant seismic analysis.

#### SECTION 7

# ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

# 7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition. Based upon a review of available drawings, the visual inspection of the site and limited operational or maintenance information, there are deficiencies which must be corrected to assure the continued performance of this dam. Generally, the dam is considered to be in fair condition. However, several signs of distress were observed at the site: absence of riprap on the upstream slope of the dam, at the base of the concrete wall; uncompacted fill adjacent to the left abutment of the outlet; scour and erosion on the side and center walls of the central outlet; seepage through the crest of the side channel spillway; and surface cracks and depressions in the traveled lanes of the asphalt-paved crest of the dam.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the spillway can discharge a flow of 900 cfs with the water surface at El 118.5, which is the low point on the crest of the dam. An outflow test flood of 1,790 cfs (one-quarter PMF) will overtop the dam by 3.7 feet.

With the stoplogs at El 114.0, the spillway can discharge 50 percent of the outflow test flood before overtopping the dam.

- b. Adequacy. The lack of detailed design and construction data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the evaluation of this dam is based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance and engineering judgment. The available data is considered adequate for a Phase I investigation.
- c. <u>Urgency</u>. The recommendations and remedial measures outlined below should be implemented by the Owner within 1 year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

- d. Need for Additional Investigation. Additional investigations to further assess the adequacy of the dam are outlined below in Section 7.2. Recommendatins.
- 7.2 Recommendations. The dam is in the "low" hazard category, in fair condition, and as such does not warrant additional investigation at this time. However, it is recommended that the Owner implement the repair and maintenance procedures outlined below in Section 7.3, Remedial Measures.

# 7.3 Remedial Measures

- a. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The dam and appurtenance structures are not adequately maintained. It is recommended that the Owner accomplish the following:
  - (1) Place riprap on the upstream slope of the embankment.
  - (2) Compact the earthfill placed adjacent to the central outlet.
  - (3) Repair the leak through the crest of the side channel spillway, adjacent to the outlet.
  - (4) Repair the scoured and eroded concrete in the side and center walls of the central outlet. Repair the spalled concrete.
  - (5) Repair the paved surface of the crest of the dam.
  - (6) Implement a systematic program of maintenance inspections. As a minimum, the inspection program should consist of a bi-annual inspection of the dam and appurtenances, supplemented by additional inspections during and after severe storms. All repairs and maintenance should be undertaken in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

LONWATER POND DAM

Magnata estimação

- (7) Periodic technical inspections of this dam should be continued on a biennial basis.
- 7.4 Alternatives. There are no recommended alternatives to the remedial measures listed above.

March Parlement .

# APPENDIX A PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST

# PERIODIC INSPECTION PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT LONGWATER POND DAM	DATE 8 DEC. 1978
	TIME_1:30 PM
	WEATHER Overcast, Rainy
	W.S. ELEV. 109.6 U.S109.3DH.S
PARTY:	
1. W. Checchi	6
2. D. Cole	7
3. E. Greco	8
4. G. Komisarek	9
	10
PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY REMARKS
1. Dam Embankment Gr	reco/Komisarek
2. Spillway/Outlet Gr	reco/Komisarek
3	
4	
0	

### PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT LONGWATER POND DAM	DATE 8 DEC. 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Dam Embankment	NAME Greco
DISCIPLINE Geotechnical	NAME_Komisarek
U.S. = upstream D.S. = Downs	stream
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DAM EMBANKMENT	
Crest Elevation	
Current Pool Elevation	
Maximum Impoundment to Date	
Surface Cracks	None visible-except in pavement traveled way.
Pavement Condition	Main St.integral part of dam. Some diff. dipping. Asphalt overlayed.
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None visible
Lateral Movement	None visible
Vertical Alignment	Relatively flat
Horizontal Alignment	Relatively straight
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Fair to good, spillway abut eroded on rt.U.S.side,left U.S.side covered with new dumped fill.
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None visible although repairs made to Main St. box culvert at end of side channel spillway
Trespassing on Slopes	New fill placed on left U.S. slope
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	New fill on left embank shows erosion
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	No riprap present
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	None-new fill placed on left U.S. slope
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	None visible-water discharging thru sluiceway without stop logs
Piping or Boils	None_visible
Foundation Drainage Features	None
Toe Drains	None visible
Instrumentation System	None
	page_2_ot <u>3_</u>

The second of


### PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT LONGWATER POND DAM	DATE DEC. 8 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Spillway/sluiceway	NAME Greco
DISCIPLINE Geotechnical	NAME Komisarek
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	
a. Approach Channel	
General Condition	Changed by addition of fill and RCP culvert-fair to good
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None
Trees Overhanging Channel	None
Floor of Approach Channel	Flat, sloping with sandy fill in channel bottom
b. Weir and Training Walls	
General Condition of Concrete	Poor to bad. Badly scoured below high water. Side channel spillway fair to good. Weir rust stained-some algae
Rust or Staining	Weir rust stained-some algae
Spalling	Weir/sluiceway extensive. Spill- way spalled in general
Any Visible Reinforcing	None
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None
Drain Holes	None
c. Discharge Channel	Discharge directly into box culvert under main street
General Condition	Rubble-mortar - fair to good
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None
Trees Overhanging Channel	Some small trees on left side D.S. of box culvert
Floor of Channel	Good in box culvert which discharges into natural streamChanl
Other Obstructions	12"C.I.pipe in box culvert at mid hgt.D.S. end of box

### APPENDIX B

### PLANS OF DAM AND PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS

	Page
Figure B-1, Plan of Dam	B-1
Figure B-2, Sections of Dam	B-2
Previous Inspections	B-3





BIT. CONC. SIDEWALK CURBS GRAN.

NOTES:

- ELEVATIONS JHOWN ARE REFERENCED TO ASSUMED BENCHMARK ELEY. 117.2 (MSL) ON SOJARE CUT ON NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF OUTLET SIDEWALL 1. ELEVATIONS
- 2. INFORMATION SHOWN BASED OF FIGURE SURVEY OF DECEMBER 8, 1978
- "Z INDICATES COCATION AND OF VIEW FOR THOTOGRAPHS
- FIGURE B-2 FOR SECTIONS THROUGH
- 5. NOTE THAT PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWN WERE TAKEN 29 FANUARY 1979

STREET 118x 5 10749

> METCALF & EMPY, 18C. NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS


> > LONGWATER POND DAM FIGURE 8-1 PLAN OF BAN

TRIBUTARY TANKTON RIVER

A CONTRACT OF A

MASSACHUSETTS

DATE: MARCH, 1979




SECTION 3-3


METCALF & EDDY , INC.


11:1





CTION 1-1 CHANNEL





DETCALF & EDDY, INC.

DESTINATION MANUAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS

LONGWATER POND DAM

FIGURE 8-2 SECTIONS THROUGH DAM

TRISUTARY TANNERS RIVER

SCALE: 1° = 90'

DATE: MARCH, 1879

Service Services

en er Miller i Berkernen er i de

11:

1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	mstrict 6
Submitted by A. H. Lounsburg	Dear No. 6-3-88-4
Date Nov. 21 1973.	Otty/Tom EASTON
	Name of Dam LUNGWATER PO
Location; Topo Sheet No. 32D	the state of the s
of the color of the state of th	
The Clear of	opy of topo map with location of Dam clearly indica
A STATE OF THE STA	opy of topo map with location of Unm clearly indica
Year built: (Pawe 6 1959)	Tear/s of subsequent repairs UNK.
	the inferior of the contraction of the second of the secon
Purpose of Lam: Sater Supply  Irrigation	The state of the s
Irrigation	Other
trainaga trea:	sq. ml. /980 acros.
and the state of t	The second secon
Hornal Ponding Area: 6.4	Acres ; ave Depth 1
Impoundm	ent 8.34 Mill gals; 26 acro ft.
manufacture of the second property of the second control of the se	
ila de	discent to bond or recommon 2
e- summer homes atc.	
And the second s	
Amensions of Dan: Length 140	Nax Height B
dimensions of Dan: Length 140	Max. Height 8
Slopes: Uper	tream Page VERTICAL
Slopes: Uper	Max. Height B  tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL
Slopes: Upe	tream Face VERTICAL tream Face VERTICAL
Slopes: Uper  Downst	tream Face VERTICAL tream Face VERTICAL
Slopes: Uper  Downst  Midth across	tream Face VERTICAL tream Face VERTICAL
Slopes: Uper  Downst	tream Face VERTICAL tream Face VERTICAL
Downst Width across Width across Classification of Dam by Material:	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry
Slopes: Uper  Downer  Width across  Classification of Dam by Material:	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry
Slopes: Uper Downst  Midth across  Classification of Dam by Material:  Earth  Timber	tream Face VECTICAL tream Face VECTICAL top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry Rockfill Other
Downst Platerial:  Classification of Dam by Naterial:  Earth  Timber  Description of present land usage	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry  Rockfill Other
Downst Platerial:  Classification of Dam by Naterial:  Earth  Timber  Description of present land usage  Ls there a stoage area or flord n	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry  Rockfill Other  flownstream of dan: 90 Fural; 10 Surbar
Downst Platerial:  Classification of Dam by Naterial:  Earth  Timber  Description of present land usage	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Cone. Masonry Stone Masonry  Rockfill Other  Comstream of dam: 90 Fural; 10 Aurhan  Claim downstream of dam which could accommodate the plete dam failure YES NO.
Downst Platerial:  Classification of Dam by Naterial:  Earth  Timber  Description of present land usage  Ls there a stoage area or flord n	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Conc. Masonry Stone Masonry  Rockfill Other  flownstream of dan: 90 Fural; 10 Surbar
Downst Platerial:  Classification of Dam by Naterial:  Earth  Timber  Description of present land usage  Ls there a stoage area or flord n	tream Face VERTICAL  tream Face VERTICAL  top 36  Cone. Masonry Stone Masonry  Rockfill Other  Comstream of dam: 90 Fural; 10 Aurhan  Claim downstream of dam which could accommodate the plete dam failure YES NO.

Carlotten -

No of people None No. of Businesses
No. of Industries No. of Businesses

No. of Industries

Type

No. of Utilities

Type

Railroads

Other Dams Attach Sketch of dam to this form showing section and plan on TB1 x 11" sheet. 

5. .

and the second s

Sugar profession

Location: Gtty/Town EASTON . Dam No. G-3-88-4  ieme of Dan Lendworse Pand INSPECTED BY: A.H. LeunsBurg.  Date of Inspection Nov 8 1573.  Queer/s: Pert. Assessors . Prev Inspection  Reg. of Deeds . Pers. Contract  1. Dollo Hess	- 1	<b>/</b>			1	
Date of Inspection Nov 8 1973.  Quence/s: Per: Assessors Prev Inspection  Reg. of Deeds Pers. Contract  1. Doing Dates  Class No. City/Town State Tel No.  3. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  3. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easter Few How Easter Mass 12-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  1. Minor 2. Moderate  2. Moderate  2. Moderate  2. Moderate  Town of Control: Automatic Manual  Operative yes: No.  Comments:	/	Location: Glty/Town_	EASTON.	Dam No	3-88-4	n day dagament against
Owner/si Per: Assessors Prev Inspection  Reg. of Deeds Pers. Contract  1 Dovid Days  No. Easted Mass  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  2. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  3. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easted Tel No.  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dem should fail completely)  1. Ninor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  Outlet Control: Automatic Manual  Operative yes: No.  Comments:		iame of Dam Lendus	TER POND	INSPECTED BY:	H. H. LOU	USBURT.
Owner/s: Per: Assessors Prev Inspection  Reg. of Deeds Pers. Contract  1. David Dimes Clave St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Tawn of Easter Tel No.  No. of pictures taken  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dem should fail completely)  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  -4. Disastrous  Outlet Control: Automatic Manual  Operative Yes: No.  Comments:	_	ar san arang A sa	i Angelong ang Pandang ang Ang Ma Sang Pangang ang Pandang Ang Pangang Sang Pangang ang Pangang Ang Pangang	Date of Inspe	ction Nov. 8.	1973.
Reg. of Deeds  Pers. Contract  1. David Amas St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town Holl Easton Mass 82-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dem should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic Manual  Operative yes: No.  Comments:	•		essors	Prev Inspec	rī où	
Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town or Easton Town How Easton Mass & 24-355  Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes:  No.  Comments:			. of Deeds	. Pers. Contr		l gjagi e tre ti Gjagioù
Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any).e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town Hou Easton Mass 824-355  Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dem should fail completely)?  1. Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  yes:  No.  Comments:		1. DAVID AMES	in OZIVARST	NO BASTON	1 MASS	
Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town How Easton Mass 82f-355  Name  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  12 Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic yes;  No.  Comments:		'un Name	St. & No.	City/Town	State	Tel No.
Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel No.  Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town How Easton Mass 84-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic yes:  Operative yes:  No.		2.	Washington and the			M-1 No
Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town Haw Easton Mass 824-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic yes;  No.  Comments:		Name	St. & NO.	Clty/10wn	State -	Tel No.
Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easter Town Haw Easter Mass 124-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic yes;  Comments:		<b>3</b> 6 '				Tel No.
Caretaker(if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town HALL Easton Mass 24-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic yes;  No.  Comments:	_	The state of the s	ووراسيان ورويمها مؤلفت أأنانا	and the second s		*****
Owner, appointed by multi owners.  Town of Easton Town How Easton Mass 824-355  Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic Manual  Operative yes:  Comments:		************	Laster Commence of the Contract of the Contrac	Marining and an artist of the second		
Name  St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.  No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes:  No.	•				1 Tab	
No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)  1.5 Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  •This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes;  No.				EASTON.	MASS	826-355
No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor				City/Town	State	Tel. No.
Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor 2. Moderate  3. Severe  •This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes:  No.	-	18 3				
Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  •This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes;  No.			# / # · ·			
Degree of Hazard: (If dam should fail completely)*  1. Minor  2. Moderate  3. Severe  •This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  Yes;  No.	١.	`		in the special confidence of the		
1. Minor  3. Severe  4. Disastrous  •This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  • Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  yes;  No.		`		a ta apropriation and the second	Page 1	
3. Severe  *This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  Outlet Control: Automatic  Operative  yes;  No.	i.	No. of pictures taken	Service of the servic	11 completely)	Page 1	
*This rating may change as land use changes (Future development)  *Outlet Control: Automatic Manual No.	•	No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If	dam should fa			
*Outlet Control: Automatic Yes; No.	•	No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If	dam should fa	2. Modera		
Operative yes; No.	•	Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe	dam should fa	2. Modera		The second secon
Operative yes; No.	•	No. of pictures taken  Degree of Hazard: (If  1.º Minor  3. Severe	dam should fa	. 2. Modera	rous	
Comments	•	Degree of Hazard: (If  1.º Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char	dam should fa	2. Modera 2. Modera 2. La Disast e changes (Future	rous	The second secon
		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may characteristics.)	dam should fa	2. Modera 	rous	
रिक्षा । सर्वे के रिक्ष <u>के रिक्ष के स्वित्र के लिए</u> के प्राप्त के लिए के स्वर्ण के लिए हैं के लिए के लिए हैं के लिए के		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom	dam should fa	2. Modera 	rous	
		Degree of Hazard: (If  1.5 Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera	dam should far nge as land us atic	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual	rous	<b>b</b> •
		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera  Comments:	dam should far nge as land us atic tive	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual	rous	<b>b</b> •
	•	Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera	dem should far nge as land us atic	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>6.</b>
* Upstream Face of Dam: Condition*		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera	dam should far nige as land us atic tive	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual  yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>10.</b>
* Upstream Face of Dam: Condition*  1. Good 2.Minor Repairs		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera	dam should far nige as land us atic tive	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual  yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>10.</b>
* Upstream Face of Dam: Condition*  1. Good 2.Minor Repairs  3. Major Repairs 4.Urgent Repairs		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera	dem should far nige as land us atic tive Condit 1. Good	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>b.</b>
1. Good 2.Minor Repairs	•	Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera  Comments:	dem should far nige as land us atic tive Condit 1. Good	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>b.</b>
1. Good . 2.Minor Repairs . 3. Major Repairs . 4.Urgent Repairs		Degree of Hazard: (If  1. Minor  3. Severe  *This rating may char  Outlet Control: Autom  Opera  Comments:	dem should far nge as land us atic tive  Condit 1. Good 3. Major	2. Modera 4. Disast e changes (Future  Manual yes;	rousdevelopment)	<b>b.</b>

•	DATI 110. <u>C-3-88-4</u>	-
/	Downstroau Face of Lag: Condition: 1. Good . 2. Einor Repairs . 4. Urgent Repairs	
,	The state of the s	÷
).	Comments: Spillway 13 Leanung A7 Joint of Wood & Comments	k 11
	MWW CONCLETE DETELORATION	2- - - -
•	ister level 6 time of inspection: O-C ft. above below top of dam principal spillway	
11.	Surmary of Deficiencies Noted:  Orouth (trees and bruch) on Embankment None  Animal Burrows and Washouts //  Damage to slopes or top of dam	
	Gracked or Danaged Masomy MINOR  Evidence of Seepage Nove  Evidence of Piping	
	Loaks Spilling AS NUTED	
	Trash and/or debris impeding flow NONE  Clogged or blocked spillway NONE  Other	•
	ម្ចាប់ មានស្ថិត្តិស្ថិត ប្រជាជាក្រុម ប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប - ប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រធានប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រែក្រុម ប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រើប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្ថិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស ប្រឹក្សាស ប្រឹក្សាស ប្រឹក្សាស ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស្តិត ប្រឹក្សាស	

		totalisti kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari kalendari Latar kalendari kale
	ERISTOL CONTON, MASS.	DAM NO. 6-3-56-4
	NSFECTION REPORT & DATA FOR DAMS	Services, Sugar St. Prof.
/ 27	ks & Recommendations: (Fully Explain)	Action of the second of the se
/ 4	PREVIOUS HISTORY OF COUNTY RECORD	San REVERL
4	PLOUND HOUSE PERSS THE STREET	1968 CAUSING
	CEPPIR LEAR IN SPILLING TO CONCESTA	DETERIOR
5	The last to the character of the last tent of the tree of the character of	) "cojore Silvers
	Theretain and the same of the same control of the same	PROVISIONS.
<b>7</b>	illicated atopica in centur allifer. Below Marie Street	e and the passing the same of the
*		
	epon (let to Senio);	
•	Longwolar Find	
		A A STATE OF THE S
. Here &	And an amount of the second of	
44 K		
32 A	Exercised Francisco Commission Co	
7. 7.1	Recommend investigated to some or proposession. Mostly of	V8. / 3/20 3 . 3/2
13. Overal	1 Condition:	
27.03 TO 1	1. Safe	
·-	2. Minor repairs needed	The second secon
·**	-4. Unsafe	The second secon
	5. Reservoir impoundment no longer exists(ex Recommend removal from inspection list.	plain)
16.7 19.1 19.1	The state of the s	The second secon
	And the section of th	The second of th

TAM PERMIT

# BRISTOL COUNTY, MASS. INSPECTION REPORT FOR DAMS PREPARED FOR THE BRISTOL COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

DAM NO Ea-4 TOWN:

SPECTION DATE	REMARKS & RECOMMENDATIONS				
3-12-70	Stop logs arein. Water is passing through stop log slots. Water flowing over spillway. Some minor flanking on westerly side.				
	•				
·	•				

DAM NO En-4

## BRISTOL COUNTY, MASS. DATA SHEET & INSPECTION FORM FOR DAMS

		1.
oneEasten	Date of Inspection 1/13/59	•
can No.	Inspected By JHR	
tream Filtern Brook and Quoses Frenk	Organization Haydon, Harding & Fuchenen, Inc.	•
(fins Poni, Long Vater Pond)  ocation: USGS Quad Prociton Lo	1 42° 04°-00" Long 71° 05°-40"	•
Reference: Forth Main Str		Ĺ
		F.
Highway Dept. operates		÷.
wher of Dam /=:3-Chovel Pu	metion of Dam Formerly Power, Now Rec.	
rainage AfenCharucter	r of D.A.	
lood of Record (date)D55D	Ischarge (or high water el)	
		; !
	Control: Comercte spillway and manually	
withdrawn stoplogo in comter simile. Be	alow Main Street open pasturege; no homes.	• ;
	1	ì
Ecgloct sy	illway, too little freeboard	1:
stimated Discharge Capacity: them Plane	h hand, 8 ma 0 0 x 4.6 = 192 cfs	1
ketch:	50° 13'13'	
sturated Discharge Capacity: them Plane	4. head, 8 the Q = 0 x 4.6 = 192 cfs	1
ketch:	4. head, 8 the Q = 0 x 4.6 = 192 cfs	
ketch:	4. head, 8 the Q = 0 x 4.6 = 192 cfs	
setch:	50°	
setch:	4. head, 8 the Q = 0 x 4.6 = 192 cfs	
setch:	50°	
Conqualer Pond	50°	
Longwaler Pond  Main 51.	A-A  No Scale	
Longwaler Pond  Main 51.	A-A  No Scale	
Longwaler Pond  Main 51.	A-A  No Scale	
Longwaler Pond  Main 51.	A-A  No Scale  Condition  Good  Good	
Longwaler Pond	A-A  No Scale  Condition	

APPENDIX C
PHOTOGRAPHS

LONGWATER PUND DAM

A STATE OF THE STA



NO. 1 WEST-EAST VIEW OF DAM CREST (MAIN STREET)



NO. 2 UPSTREAM VIEW - SIDE CHANNEL SPILLWAY-OUTLET WORKS



NO. 3 UPSTREAM VIEW - LEFT ABUTMENT



NO. 4 UPSTREAM VIEW - RIGHT ABUTMENT



NO. 5 VIEW OF OUTLET WORKS



NO. 6 VIEW OF SIDE CHANNEL SPILLWAY OUTLET



NO. 7 VIEW OF OUTLET WORKS - DOWNSTREAM



NO. 8 VIEW OF DOWNSTREAM DISCHARGE CHANNEL

### APPENDIX D

## HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

	Page
Figure D-1, Drainage Area	Map D-1
Computations	D <b>-</b> 2




FIG. D-1 DRAINAGE AREA MAP — LONGWATER POND

A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

Project	Nat.	Reviewol	Non Fed. Do	Acct. No	6191	Page	01 5
Subject	Bri	stol Co	unty, Mas	Comptd. B	LEB	Date	1/9/79
Detail	101	<b>UGWATER</b>	POND	Ck'd. By	<u> </u>	Date	
I				€ 5to		tions	
		Pond(s	Area: .021	L 4 .015 + ,095 + ./ .+ .065 + .029 + ,03	144 - 1023 + .013	+,017 = 12+,014+,079+,	0.334 m
	: .	Total	al Area Pol	nd(s) & Swa	mpls):	•	0.922 +
	9			8.50			
	ţ	73000		- 1.99% } <u>50</u>			• • • • • •
	thau Size	· Flat & Co · Cless : 5m	astal and	for Peak F ate was cu d taken ad vd Pot.: Low v.; Assume 100	100 cifis. Spill, De	/mi	
	5- [	Test Flo	ood Inflou	= = 4 (900	)8.5 =	1900 cfs	<u>. ]</u>
	6-	Based	nd area on a Con	1:0.022 sq, st. area , et per foo	storage	increases	• .
:	7-	Spillway c	vest eleu.	is Estimate	d at 114-	when stople	gs in.
				are base			
				i Reseavoir			
		5 (mi Inche D= Stora	(s) = 12D where depth in f	$\frac{(.022)}{8.5}$ = eet above s	0.031 D	R=6hr ra f in reservoir	in of sterm.
				(Test Floor			

F<sub>TF</sub> = 1900 - 400 S = 1900 - 12.4 D F<sub>KPMF</sub> = 3800 - 400 S = 3800 - 12.4 D Discharge Ratings

A-Main Spillway

Pond has been drawn down. Assume stoplogs "Tcrest at 114.0 in main spillway to produce normal pond. Stoplogs - 2 sets, each 3'wide; Use Hydr tables". Williams & Hazen; p= 30'

Bud El. 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 g 3.33 9.32 17.1 26.35 36.08 48.67 60.66 72.25 QM 20 60 100 160 220 290 360 430

B - Side Spillway

Side spillway - 85'long - crest el. 115.4 ± - use Hydr. Tables - p= 30

PondEl. 116 120 121 117 118 122 1.57 6.69 13.8 22.48 32.53 43,85 55.87  $\delta \varphi_s$ 130 570 1910 2760 1170 3720 4750

C - Crest Flow at Gap in Wall

"Dam" crest is paved Main St followed by stonewall Opening in stone well is 72' wide "Torest elev. @ 119.7 Use Hydr. Tables "Tp=30 & reduction factor of 0.95"

Pond El. 121 120 122 123 Adj. 9 .55 18.7 27.9 4.46 10.9 610 10 100 410 240


D. Low Level Outlet

Pond El. 114 - Remove 1' of stoplog, in Main Spillway Disth. varies from 20cfs to Octs

Time to drain 1'= \frac{13.8 (43560)}{3600 (20) 1/2} = 16.7 Hours

I Discharge Ratings - Cont.

E- Culvert Capacity



 $H_c = EntLoss + ExitLosr + Jonatron Loss + Friction$   $H_c = 0.5 \text{ hv} + 1.0 \text{ hv} + 0.3 \text{ hv} + 29(L) \left[ \frac{N}{1.49 R^{1/3}} \right]^2 \text{ hv}$   $H_c = 1.8 \text{ hv} + .106 \text{ hv} = 1.900 \frac{\text{v}^2}{29} \text{ j} Q_c = A \left[ \frac{20 \text{ He}}{1.900} \right]^{1/2} = 339.5 \text{ He}^{1/2}$ 

Ent. Eleu, 115 121 116 //7 119 10.5 11.5 9.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5 He 930 990 1050 1100 1150 1200 870 Q<sub>e</sub> 720 හිර 630

F - Crest Flow over Wall

hength: ±180', Elev. 121.5±, Cake. 4s in C above; p=12'

Pond El. 122 123 124 125

Adj q 1.15 5.91 12.52 20.9

Q 210 1050 2250 3760

1 Over Crest Flow

A- "Gap" Flow 122.2 - 119.7 = 2.5', Qa = 13.01 (.95) = 12.4 cfs / ft. A: Critical Flow; yc = 1.68', Ve = 7.4 fps

B-Wall Crost Flow

122.2 - 121.5 = 0.7', 8= 1.97(.95) = 1.87 efs/ft.

As Critical Flow: 4c = 0.48', Ve = 3.9 fps.

CAN PERSONAL TO A

NatiReview of NonFed Dame Acct. No. 6191 Bristol County, Mass. LONGWATER POND GK Discharge, Storage & Storage Function vs. Pond Elev. OC 433 C.C.A. KANF Q.1:3680 120 METCALF & EDDY, ENGINEERS Œ M.S. > 1/2 49 1. E. Cont : 170 ch Ten notes D-5

Project Alat. Review of Nm Fed. Dams Acct No. 6191 Bristol County Mass Compid By \_ LEB ONGWATER FOND Detail Failure of Dam Peak Failure Flow Pond Elevation -118.5 Toe Elevation -- 107,9 Dam Length Subject to Breaching = 110ft. Wo = 40% (110) = 44 ft. OP = 1.68 Wo (Yo)" = 1.68 (44') (10.6)" = 2600 Spillway dieth at pond eles. 1185 = 900 cfs .. Total Failure Q = 3500 cfs Storage Volume Released: Storage Above Spillway 19.8 (4.5) = 62 ac. ft. Storage Below Spillway 18.8 (G) 1/3 = 28 S = Total Storage = 90 Channel Hydraulics: 5 = 2 = . odo 9 , n = . 07 , R= 14, A = 13.64 y V= ,6418 R43 = ,404 443 0 Total Failure Flow produces depth of ±11 Initial spillway flow 0.64 30 Failure Cause 4' wave for : 2 200' of 1,02 ८४० 1.33 narrow channel, before spreading 650 in swamps and pouds 1.62 1410 High tailwater would reduce theoretical failure flow, above. 4160 Channel sect. is \$1200 ft dustrof daw, i'no channel storage = 2700 ft dueto of dem is start of swamps leading to Morse Pond.
Taking 45 ac. of Morse Pd @ el. 107 (0.5.6.5.) 4 96 ac of swamps at el. 108, then 90 ac ft from Languator raises pool at Houses AL by \$ 103 ft. Time to Drain! 43560 (90) = 0.84 Hours, or 50 Minutes 3600 (1/2) (2600)

The resemble

### APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

SCS A VERZOATE FEO R PRV/FED DAY | MO | YR 25APR79 13140 REPORT DATE POPULATION z MAINTENANCE N N LATITUDE LONGITUDE (WEST) 4201,9 7105,7 FROM DAM (MI.) z AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION CONSTRUCTION BY ◉ ⊜ -|c181 1150 LON NAME OF IMPOUNDMENT PUBLIC LAW 92-347 Ę. MAZKETYN (ACHENAL) INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES UNKNUKZ 3 NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY - TOWN - VILLAGE OPERATION 3 INSPECTION DATE
DAY MO YR NONE REGULATORY AGENCY OBDEC78 HVO PAU EASTON ENGINEEDING BY NAME Θ REMARKS REMARKS INGWATER POND DAM c CONSTRUCTION 0065 MACON MAIL VOLUME OF DAM (CY) PURPOSES RIVER OR STREAM 3 3.O. THE OF EASTER AND DEAPES SPILLWAY NAXMUM POPULAR NAME INSPECTION BY METCALE AND EDDY THE WHITHAN BROOM STATE, COUNTY DIST. 0 0 0 0 COMPLETED 1900 YEAR LARGATER POND OWNER SPILLWAY DESIGN = STALE MENTITY DIVISION STATE COUNTY DIST. TYPE OF DAM 01 SOC V. AFRACTPG 10/S SPI 500 Ę CHOR(BASIN 3 Ξ -Ē 171 :110